

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,967	07/27/2000	Ayahito Kojima	1081.1093/JDH	1013
21171 7:	590 09/10/2002			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			EXAMINER	
			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
				-t
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	5
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	\mathcal{L}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/626,967

Applicant(s)

Kojima et al.

Examiner

Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit 2675

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	for Reply					
THE I - Extens mailing	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) In the application to become	MONTHS for me ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 13, 20	002				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
_	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					
8) 🗆	Claims					
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	d or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be hel	d in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	d in Apr	olication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	es not re	eceived.		
14)	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		_				
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)			O-413) Paper No(s)		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) [X] Int	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	6) U Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/626,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

This is in regard to amendment filed on 6/13/02 in which claims 1-7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakitani (U.S. Pat. No. 5,940,142) in view of Tajima (U.S. Pat. No. 6,222,512).

As to claims 1 and 5, Wakitani discloses a plasma display apparatus representing the luminance of one field in accordance with a combination of sub-frames (i.e.; sub-fields) which comprises: a data converter (103; fig.6) to convert input video data (8) into output data in which the ON/OFF states of the sub-fields are specified (fig. 7; col.12, lines 13-29, lines 45-56).

Wakitani does not explicitly teach that the sub-frames include a smaller luminance sub-frame having a luminance level which is lower than the minimum gray scale level of luminance. However, in the same field of endeavor, Tajima teaches that the gray scale level of luminance is indicated by higher bits in a sequence of sub-frames associated with smaller weights of luminance (col. 12, lines 30-61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wakitani by specifically providing a display device wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/626,967 Page 3

Art Unit: 2675

the gray scale level of luminance is indicated by higher bits in a sequence of sub-frames associated with smaller weights of luminance, as disclosed by Tajima. Doing so, it becomes possible for Wakitani to prevent the generation of false colored contour caused by the occurrence of dark parts due to sub-frame separation occurring with a moving image, and which is capable of providing a high-quality image.

As to claim 2, Wakitani (fig. 6) shows a plasma display apparatus, wherein said data converter (103) has a plurality of conversion characteristics, and a desired conversion characteristic is selected in accordance with a mode set signal to select said plurality of conversion characteristics (col.12, lines 13-29).

As to claim 3, Wakitani does not teach a plasma display apparatus, wherein the input video data are supplied in accordance with a plurality of primary colors, and said conversion characteristics of said data converter are selectively determined for each of said primary colors. However, these limitations are clearly disclosed by Tajima (fig. 52, col. 39, lines 8-21). See the motivation above.

As to claim 4, Wakitani (fig. 6) discloses a plasma display apparatus wherein, the data converter (103) has a conversion characteristics in which an increase rate of the luminance of said output data in a first gray scale area for said input video data, differs from an increase rate of said luminance of said output data in a second gray scale area, whose luminance is higher than said first gray scale area (col. 12, lines 20-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/626,967 Page 4

Art Unit: 2675

As to claim 6, the claim has substantially the limitations of claim 1, therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claim 1 above.

As to claim 7, method claim 7 corresponds to apparatus claim 1, therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claim 1 above.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Tajima et al. does not teach or suggest "wherein the sub-frames include a smaller luminance sub-frame having a luminance level which is lower than the minimum gray scale level of luminance which can be represented by the number of bits in the input video data".

However, the examiner disagrees with that statement. In col. 12, lines 30-61 of Tajima, Tajima refers to sub-frames associated with smaller weights of luminance sub-frame having a luminance level which is lower (i.e., smaller) than the minimum gray scale level of luminance which can be represented by the number of bits in the input video data".

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/626,967

Art Unit: 2675

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 5

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Tajima et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,818,419) discloses a display device for displaying a multiple-

level gray scale picture through a frame having a plurality of sub-frames.

Hirakawa et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,097,358) discloses a method for driving an AC-driven PDP

to produce gradation display.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6.

should be directed to Fritz Alphonse whose telephone number is (703) 308-8534.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor.

Steve Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2675

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

F. Alphonse

Art Unit: 2675

September 5, 2002

CHANH NGUYEN